# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,446	01/19/2005	Ian Boddy	71486-0086 8664	
20915 MCGARRY B	7590 07/16/2007 AIR PC		EXAMINER	
32 Market Ave. SW			MARSH, STEVEN M	
SUITE 500 GRAND RAPI	IDS, MI 49503		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,	,	3632	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/16/2007	PÁPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/522,446	BODDY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Steven M. Marsh	3632			
Period fo		rears on the cover sheet with the t	orrespondence address			
VVHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE INSIDE AND A COMMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	<u>pril 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
'	The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2005 is/are:		d to by the Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a	a)-(d) or (f)			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 6.5.6. § 115(a	y-(a) or (i).			
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,				
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmei	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I				
	er No(s)/Mail Date 1192005.	6) Other:	• •			

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the second office action for U.S. Application 10/522,446 for a Mounting System for a Vehicular Mirror filed on January 19, 2005. Claims 1-34 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 23-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 26, 2007.

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings

Art Unit: 3632

for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Applicant fails to show an assembled view of the invention. The separate components shown in figures 3-12 are never shown in an assembled state and it is unclear exactly how the parts are oriented with respect the vehicle and how the mirror is mounted into the pockets and depressions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification and drawings (as discussed above) fail to discuss how the components of figures 1-12 interact with each other to form the completed rear view mirror assembly claims in claims 1-22. As mentioned above, the drawings do not

Application/Control Number: 10/522,446

Art Unit: 3632

contain a figure showing the completed assembly. The views of completed assemblies shown in figures 2 and 15 appear to be alternate embodiments, as the reference characters included in figures 1-12 are not included in those particular figures. It is unclear what Applicant is disclosing, and therefore, the claims have not been searched on their merits.

Page 4

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Marsh whose telephone number is (571) 272-6819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Steven Marsh/

Steven M. Marsh

July 7, 2007